arXiv:math/9911031v1 [math.NT] 5 Nov 1999

SPECTRAL SEQUENCE OF UNIVERSAL DISTRIBUTION AND SINNOTT'S INDEX FORMULA

YI OUYANG

Abstract. We prove an abstract index formula about Sinnott's symbol between two different lattices. We also develop the theory of the universal distribution and predistribution in a double complex point of view. The theory of spectral sequence is used to interpret the index formula and to analyze the cohomology of the universal distribution. Combing these results, we successfully prove Sinnott's index formula about the Stickelberger ideal. In addition, the $\{\pm 1\}$-cohomology groups of the universal distribution and the universal predistribution are obtained.

1. Introduction

The theory of universal distribution, with its tremendous application in number theory, has been well studied in the past thirty years(See Lang [9] and Washington [12] for more backgrounds). In [13] , Yamamoto studied the $\{\pm 1\}$-cohomology of the universal distribution of rank 1(the *gap* group in [13]):

Theorem 1.1. *Let U_m be the universal distribution of rank 1 and level m. Then*

$$H^i(\{\pm 1\}, U_m) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2^{r-1}}$$

where r is the number of distinct prime factors of m.

In his famous paper [10], Sinnott successfully obtained the index formula of Stickelberger ideal and circular units, which generalized the results of Kummer and Iwasawa. His result can be stated as

Theorem 1.2. *Let m be a positive integer which is not $2 \pmod 4$. Let G be the Galois group of the cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}$. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[G]$ and let S be the Stickelberger ideal of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$. Let E be the group of units in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ and let C be the subgroup of circular units in E. then*

(1). $[R^- : S^- = 2^a h^-$;

(2). $[E^+ : C^+] = 2^b h^+$;

where $a = b = 0$ if $r = 1$ and $a = 2^{r-2} - 1$, $b = 2^{r-2} + 1 - r$ if $r > 1$, h^+ and h^- are the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)^+$ and the relative class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ respectively.

Sinnott's result was a huge success and inspired many followers. Most notably, Kubert [7] and [8] found the connection of Sinnott's method and the universal (ordinary) distribution. By using this connection, he thus showed that Theorem 1.1 is true for the universal distribution of arbitrary rank.

Sinnott's computation is very elegant but rather difficult. The motivation to find an easier proof drives us to this paper. The theory of spectral sequences, though very

---

Date: May 12, 1999.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R18; Secondary 11R34 18G40.

popular in topology, algebra and even number theory, had not been able to leave its mark in the theory of distribution until recently. In [2], Anderson came up with the idea of using a special double complex to compute the $\{\pm 1\}$-cohomology of the universal distribution. With which he proved a conjecture by Yin [14]. Das [4] then used it to study algebraic monomials and obtained many interesting results. Their method is the prototype of spectral sequences method used by us here.

In this paper, we prove an abstract index formula about Sinnott's symbol between two different lattices. We also develop the theory of the universal distribution and predistribution in a double complex point of view. The theory of spectral sequence is used to interpret the index formula and to analyze the cohomology of the universal distribution. Combing these results, we successfully prove Sinnott's index formula about the Stickelberger ideal (i.e., the first part of Theorem 1.2). In addition, the $\{\pm 1\}$-cohomology groups of the universal distribution(i.e., Theorem 1.1) and the universal predistribution are obtained. Although we only study the rank 1 case in this paper, our method is capable of generalizing to the higher rank case.

As noted above, this paper is based on my advisor, Professor Greg W. Anderson's brilliant idea. I am in debt to his working note [1] which contains the raw form of the abstract index formula and many other facts stated in this paper. I also benefit greatly from numerous discussions with him. This paper would be impossible without his instruction. I thank whole heartedly for his insight, patience and encouragement.

2. The abstract index formula

2.1. **Definition of regulator** $\operatorname{reg}(A, B, \lambda)$**.** Let A and B be lattices in a finite dimensional vector space V over $\mathbb{R}$. Necessarily there exists some $\mathbb{R}$-linear automorphism ϕ of V such that $\phi(A) = B$. Put

$$(A : B)_V := |\det \phi|,$$

which is a positive real number independent of the choice of ϕ. We call it the *Sinnott symbol* of A to B. Note that

(1). For lattices $A,\ B \subseteq V$, if $B \subseteq A$, then $(A : B)_V = \#(A/B)$.
(2). Given lattices $A,\ B,\ C \subseteq V$, then $(A : B)(B : C) = (A : C)$.
(3). Let $f : V_1 \to V_2$ be an isomorphism of vector spaces. Let A and B be lattices in V_1, then $(A : B)_{V_1} = (f(A) : f(B))_{V_2}$.

For more results about the Sinnott symbol, see Sinnott [10] and [11].

Given a finitely generated abelian group A, we denote the tensor product $A \otimes \mathbb{R}$ by $\mathbb{R}A$. Now given two finitely generated abelian groups A and B, and an $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphism $\lambda : \mathbb{R}A \to \mathbb{R}B$. Choose free abelian subgroups $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$ of finite index. Then A' and B' are of the same rank and hence isomorphic. Choose any isomorphism $\phi : B' \to A'$, it can be naturally extended to an isomorphism $\mathbb{R}\phi : \mathbb{R}B' \to \mathbb{R}A'$. make the evident identification $\mathbb{R}A' = \mathbb{R}A$ and $\mathbb{R}B' = \mathbb{R}B$. Now put

$$\operatorname{reg}(A, B, \lambda) := \frac{|\det \mathbb{R}\phi \circ \lambda| \cdot \#B/B'}{\#A/A'}, \tag{2.1}$$

which is a positive real number independent of the choice of A', B' and ϕ. We call $\operatorname{reg}(A, B, \lambda)$ the *regulator* of λ with respect to A and B. We often write it $\operatorname{reg}\lambda$ in abbreviation.

Here we calculate a few examples of the regulator:

Example 2.1. If both A and B are finite, then $\operatorname{reg}(A, B, 0) = \#B/\#A$.

Example 2.2. Let $f : A \to B$ be any homomorphism of finitely generated abelian groups with finite kernel and cokernel, then $\mathrm{reg}(A, B, \mathbb{R}f)$ is exact $\#\,\mathrm{coker}\ f/\#\ker f$.

Example 2.3. Let A, B and C be finitely generated abelian groups. Let $\lambda : \mathbb{R}A \to \mathbb{R}B$ and $\mu : \mathbb{R}B \to \mathbb{R}C$ be $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphisms. Then $\mathrm{reg}\,\mu \circ \lambda = \mathrm{reg}\,\mu \cdot \mathrm{reg}\,\lambda$.

Example 2.4. Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. Let $A, B \subseteq V$ be lattices. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{R}A \to V$ and $\beta : \mathbb{R}B \to V$ be the natural isomorphisms induced by the inclusions $A \subseteq V$ and $B \subseteq V$ respectively. Then $\mathrm{reg}(A, B, \beta^{-1} \circ \alpha) = (B : A)_V$.

2.2. **The abstract index formula.** Consider bounded complexes of finitely generated abelian groups

$$(A, d_A) : \cdots \to A^i \to A^{i+1} \to \cdots$$

and

$$(B, d_B) : \cdots \to B^i \to B^{i+1} \to \cdots .$$

Given an isomorphism

$$\lambda : \mathbb{R}A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}B$$

of bounded complexes of finitely dimensional vector spaces. It naturally induces a map

$$H^i(\lambda) : H^i(\mathbb{R}A) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathbb{R}B)$$

for every degree i. Note that we also have $\mathbb{R}H^i(A) = H^i(\mathbb{R}A)$ and $\mathbb{R}H^i(B) = H^i(\mathbb{R}B)$. Then we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1. *With the hypotheses above, then*

$$\prod_i (\mathrm{reg}\ \lambda^i)^{(-1)^i} = \prod_i (\mathrm{reg}\ H^i(\lambda))^{(-1)^i}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. First we claim that there exist subcomplexes $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1). A'^i and B'^i are free abelian groups of the same rank as A^i for all i;
(2). $H^i(A')$ and $H^i(B')$ are torsion free for all i;
(3). A' and B' are isomorphic complexes of abelian groups.
(4). The sequences

$$0 \to H^i(A') \to H^i(A) \to H^i(A/A') \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to H^i(B') \to H^i(B) \to H^i(B/B') \to 0$$

are exact for all i.

This claim can be proved by induction. First since A and B are bounded complexes of finite generated abelian groups, without loss of generality we suppose

$$(A, d_A) : \cdots 0 \to A^{-n} \to \cdots \to A^{-1} \to A^0 \to 0 \cdots$$

and

$$(B, d_B) : \cdots 0 \to B^{-n} \to \cdots \to B^{-1} \to B^0 \to 0 \cdots$$

Consider the subgroup $\mathrm{im}(d_A : A^{-1} \to A^0)$ of A^0. Let r be the rank of $\mathrm{im}\ A^{-1}$ and let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_r\}$ be a maximal independent set in $\mathrm{im}\ A^{-1}$. We can enlarge it into a maximal independent set $E_0 = \{e_1, \cdots, e_s\}$ of A^0. Set A'^0 be the subgroup generated by E_0. Then A^0/A'^0 is finite. Now consider the inverse image of A'^0, it is a subgroup of A^{-1}. Moreover, it must have the same rank as A^{-1}. Since $\ker(d_A : A^{-1} \to A^0)$ is contained in the inverse image of A'^0, so is im $(d_A : A^{-2} \to A^{-1})$. Find $\{f_1, \cdots, f_s\} \subseteq A^{-1}$ such that $d_A(f_i) = e_i$. This set is an independent set in the inverse image of A'^0 and has only trivial intersection with $\ker(d_A : A^{-1} \to A^0)$. We select a maximal independent set in $\mathrm{im}(A^{-2} \to A^{-1})$, enlarge it to a maximal independent set in $\ker(A^{-1} \to A^0)$, together with $\{f_1, \cdots, f_s\} \subseteq A^{-1}$, we get a maximal independent set E_{-1} in the inverse image of A'^0. Set the free subgroup generated by E_{-1} as A'^{-1}. Continuing this setup, we obtain a subcomplex A' of A such that A'^i is free, $(A/A')^i$ is finite and $H^i(A')$ is torsion free.

Similarly for the complex B, we can construct a subcomplex B' of B such that B'^i is free, $(B/B')^i$ is finite and $H^i(B')$ is torsion free. Hence A' and B' satisfy conditions (1) and (2). But (3) and (4) easily follow from (1) and (2). Hence we proved the above claim. Now choose an isomorphism $\phi : B' \to A'$ of complexes. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_i (\mathrm{reg}\ \ \lambda^i)^{(-1)^i} =& \prod_i \left(\frac{|\det \mathbb{R}\phi^i \circ \lambda^i| \cdot \#(B/B')^i}{\#(A/A')^i} \right)^{(-1)^i} \\ =& \prod_i \left(\frac{|\det \mathbb{R}H^i(\phi) \circ H^i(\lambda)| \cdot \#H^i(B/B')}{\#H^i(A/A')} \right)^{(-1)^i} \\ =& \prod_i (\mathrm{reg}\ \ H^i(\lambda))^{(-1)^i}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the facts: (1). If A is a complex of finite abelian group, then

$$\prod_i (\#H^i(A))^{(-1)^i} = \prod_i (\#A^i)^{(-1)^i};$$

(2). If V is a complex of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces, ϕ is an automorphism of V, then

$$\prod_i \|\det \phi^i\|^{(-1)^i} = \prod_i \|\det H^i(\phi)\|^{(-1)^i}. \quad \square$$

Now Consider the following data:

- A finite group G.
- A bounded graded finitely generated left $\mathbb{R}[G]$-modules

  $$V = \bigoplus_i V^i \text{ such that } V^i = 0 \text{ for } i > 0 \text{ and } i \ll 0,$$

  equipped with two differential structures d_1 and d_2.
- An $\mathbb{R}[G]$-linear isomorphism ϕ between two cochain complexes (V, d_1) and (V, d_2).
- A lattice $L = \bigoplus_i L^i$ of V which is G, d_1 and d_2-stable.
- $H^i_{d_1}(L) = H^i_{d_2}(L) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$.
- $H^0_{d_1}(L)$ and $H^0_{d_2}(L)$ are free abelian groups.

Now for an arbitrary left ideal $\theta \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[G]$, by our assumption, we have the following trivial consequences:

- $H^i_{d_1}(V^\theta) = H^i_{d_2}(V^\theta) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$.
- $L^{i\theta}$ is a lattice in $L^{i\theta}$ for all i.
- $H^0_{d_2}(L)^\theta$ and $H^0_{d_2}(\phi L)^\theta$ are lattices in $H^0_{d_2}(V^\theta)$.

By Proposition 2.1, we have(suggested by Anderson [1]

Theorem 2.2 (Abstract Index Formula)**.** *Under the above assumption, we have*

$$(H^0_{d_2}(L)^\theta : H^0_{d_2}(\phi L)^\theta) = \prod_i |\det(\phi^i \,|\, V^{i\theta})^{(-1)^i}| \cdot I(L, d_1; \theta)^{-1} \cdot I(L, d_2; \theta), \tag{2.3}$$

where for any complex of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$*-modules* A*, we define*

$$I(A;\theta) := \frac{\#\operatorname{coker}(H^0(A^\theta) \to H^0(A)^\theta)}{\#\operatorname{tor} H^0(A^\theta) \cdot \prod_{i\neq 0} \# H^i(A^\theta)^{(-1)^i}} \tag{2.4}$$

if the above value is finite.

Proof. Consider the complexes (L^θ, d_1) and (L^θ, d_2) with the restriction map $\phi : V^\theta \to V^\theta$. Note that:

(1). $\operatorname{reg}(L^{i\,\theta}, L^{i\,\theta}, \phi^i) = |\det(\phi^i \,|\, V^{i\theta})|$ for all i.

(2). Since $H^i_{d_1}(V^\theta) = H^i_{d_2}(V^\theta) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$, $H^i_{d_1}(L^\theta)$ and $H^i_{d_2}(L^\theta)$ are both finite and $H^i(\phi) = 0$. We have $\operatorname{reg}(H^i_{d_1}(L^\theta), H^i_{d_2}(L^\theta), H^i(\phi)) = \# H^i_{d_2}(L^\theta)/\# H^i_{d_1}(L^\theta)$ for all $i \neq 0$.

(3). Now for $i = 0$, consider the map $\alpha_j : H^0_{d_j}(L^\theta) \to H^0_{d_j}(L)^\theta$. We have $H^0(\phi)\circ\mathbb{R}\alpha_1 = \mathbb{R}\alpha_2 \circ H^0(\phi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{reg}(H^0_{d_1}(L^\theta),&H^0_{d_2}(L^\theta), H^0(\phi))\\ &= \operatorname{reg}(\alpha_1)\cdot\operatorname{reg}(\alpha_2)^{-1}\cdot\operatorname{reg}(H^0_{d_1}(L)^\theta, H^0_{d_2}(L)^\theta, H^0(\phi)),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\operatorname{reg}(\alpha_j) = \frac{\#\operatorname{coker}(H^0_{d_j}(L)^\theta \to H^0_{d_j}(L^\theta))}{\#\operatorname{tor} H^0_{d_j}(L^\theta)}$$

and

$$\operatorname{reg}(H^0_{d_1}(L)^\theta, H^0_{d_2}(L)^\theta, H^0(\phi)) = (H^0_{d_2}(L)^\theta : H^0_{d_2}(\phi L)^\theta).$$

Now applying Formula (2.2) in Proposition 2.1 to the case $A = (L^\theta, d_1)$, $B = (L^\theta, d_2)$ and $\lambda = \phi$, we immediately get (2.3). □

3. Theory of spectral sequences

3.1. **Basic theory of spectral sequences.** Let G be a group and let $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ be the integral group ring of G. Let θ be a left ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. For any left module M, let M^θ be the subgroup of M annihilated by θ. Let

$$(A, d) : \cdots \to A^i \to A^{i+1} \to \cdots$$

be a complex of left G-modules. Assume

- $A^i = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $i \ll 0$.
- $H^i(A) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.

Let $M = \mathbb{Z}[G]/\theta$, we have a projective resolution of M:

$$(P, \partial) : \cdots \to P_i \to \cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to 0$$

Let $K^{p,q} = \operatorname{Hom}_G(P_q, A^p)$, then we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} K^{p,q+1} \arrow[r, "d\circ"] & K^{p+1,q+1} \\ K^{p,q} \arrow[u, "\circ\partial"] \arrow[r, "d\circ"] & K^{p+1,q} \arrow[u, "\circ\partial"] \end{tikzcd}$$

With abuse of notations, we denote $d\circ$ by d and $(-1)^p\ \circ\partial$ by δ. Then we get a double complex $K^{*,*}=(K^{p,q};d,\delta)$. The associate single complex is then defined by

$$K^n=\bigoplus_{p+q=n}K^{p,q},\ D=d+\delta. \tag{3.1}$$

Recall that we have two filtrations of the double complex $K^{*,*}$

$${}'\,\mathrm{Fil}^pK^{*,*}=\bigoplus_{p'\geq p}K^{p',q}, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$${}''\,\mathrm{Fil}^qK^{*,*}=\bigoplus_{q''\geq q}K^{p,q''}. \tag{3.3}$$

Now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& {} & {} & {} & \\
{} \arrow[r, "d"] & K^{p-1,q+1} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] \arrow[r, "d"] & K^{p,q+1} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] \arrow[r, "d"] & K^{p+1,q+1} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] \arrow[r, "d"] & {} \\
{} \arrow[r, "d"] & K^{p-1,q} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] \arrow[r, "d"] & K^{p,q} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] \arrow[r, "d"] & K^{p+1,q} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] \arrow[r, "d"] & {} \\
{} \arrow[r, "d"] & K^{p-1,q-1} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] \arrow[r, "d"] & K^{p,q-1} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] \arrow[r, "d"] & K^{p+1,q-1} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] \arrow[r, "d"] & {} \\
& {} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] & {} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] & {} \arrow[u, "\delta"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have

$$H^q_\delta(K^{p,*})=\mathrm{Ext}^q_G(M,A^p), \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$H^p_d(K^{*,q})=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } p\neq 0;\\ \mathrm{Hom}_G(P_q,H^0(A)), & \text{if } p=0.\end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore we can compute the E_2 terms of the related spectral sequences. For the first one,

$${}'E_2^{p,q}=H^p(\mathrm{Ext}^q_G(M,A)); \tag{3.6}$$

for the second one,

$${}''E_2^{p,q}=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } p\neq 0;\\ \mathrm{Ext}^q_G(M,H^0(A)), & \text{if } p=0.\end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Since the second case collapses at $p=0$, we have

$$H^i(K^*)=\mathrm{Ext}^i_G(M,H^0(A)). \tag{3.8}$$

From now on we will focus only on the first case. We omit the symbol $'$ from our notations. Then

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathrm{Ext}_G^q(M, A)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_G^{p+q}(M, H^0(A)). \tag{3.9}$$

Set $q = 0$, then

$$E_2^{p,0} = H^p(\mathrm{Ext}_G^0(M, A)) = H^p(A^\theta). \tag{3.10}$$

Because $\mathrm{Fil}^1 K^*$ is trivial, we have

$$E_\infty^{0,0} = \mathrm{Fil}^0 H^0(K^*) = \mathrm{im}\ (H^0(\mathrm{Fil}^0 K^*) \to H^0(K^*)).$$

Since $\mathrm{Fil}^0 K^*$ is nothing but the complex

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(P_0, A^0) \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(P_1, A^0) \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(P_q, A^0) \to \cdots,$$

we have $H^0(\mathrm{Fil}^0 K^*) = A^{0\,\theta}$ and

$$E_\infty^{0,0} = \mathrm{im}\ (A^{0\,\theta} \to H^0(A)^\theta).$$

We show further it factors through $H^0(A^\theta)$. First note that $H^0(A^\theta) = \mathrm{coker}(A^{-1\,\theta} \to A^{0\,\theta})$, therefore we only need to show that $A^{-1\,\theta}$ is contained in the boundary of K^0. This follows immediately from the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & A^{0\,\theta} \arrow[r] & K^{0,0} \arrow[r, "\delta"] & K^{0,1} \\
0 \arrow[r] & A^{-1\,\theta} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "d"] & K^{-1,0} \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[u, "d"] & K^{-1,1} \arrow[u, "d"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is exact at the two rows. Combining the above arguments, we have

$$E_\infty^{0,0} = \mathrm{im}\ (H^0(A^\theta) \to H^0(A)^\theta). \tag{3.11}$$

3.2. **Application to the abstract index formula.** By the results obtained in the above subsection, we can express $I(A, \theta)$ in terms of the order of E_r. We give here an important special case:

Proposition 3.1. *If one has*

$$\#\,\mathrm{Ext}_G^1(M, H^0(A)) = \prod_q \# H^{1-q}(\mathrm{Ext}_G^q(M, A)), \tag{3.12}$$

then

$$I(A;\theta) = \prod_{\substack{p+q\le 0\\ q>0}} \# H^p(\mathrm{Ext}_G^q(M, A))^{(-1)^{p+q}} = \prod_{\substack{p+q\le 0\\ q>0}} (\# E_2^{p,q})^{(-1)^{p+q}}. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. First note that the given identity (3.12) is nothing but

$$\prod_q \# E_\infty^{1-q,q} = \prod_q \# E_2^{1-q,q}.$$

Since for the spectral sequence, $H^*(E_r) = E_{r+1}$, we always have

$$\# E_2^{p,q} \ge \# E_3^{p,q} \ge \cdots \ge \# E_\infty^{p,q}.$$

Hence

$$\# E_2^{1-q,q} = \# E_3^{1-q,q} = \cdots = \# E_\infty^{1-q,q},$$

which means that for $r \geq 2$,

$$\operatorname{im}(d_r : E_r^{1-q-r,q+r-1} \to E_r^{1-q,q}) = \operatorname{im}(d_r : E_r^{1-q,q} \to E_r^{1-q+r,q-r+1}) = 0.$$

Therefore we have a shorter complex:

$$\cdots \to E_r^{1-q-2r,q+2r-2} \to E_r^{1-q-r,q+r-1} \to 0.$$

Now we set to prove the following fact:

$$\prod_{\substack{p+q\leq 0\\(p,q)\neq(0,0)}} (\# E_r^{p,q})^{(-1)^{p+q}} \cdot \# \operatorname{tor} E_r^{0,0} = \text{Constant}. \tag{3.14}$$

Observe that the set $\{E_r^{p,q} : p+q \leq 0,\ q \geq 0\}$, the only term not finite is $E_r^{0,0}$. If we substitute it by its torsion, we still get a group of complexes composed of finite abelian groups and with differential d_r. The cohomology groups are $E_{r+1}^{p,q}$(or $\operatorname{tor} E_{r+1}^{0,0}$). By the invariance of Euler characteristic under cohomology, (3.14) is proved. Note that $E_\infty^{0,0}$ is free and

$$\prod_{\substack{p+q\leq 0\\(p,q)\neq(0,0)}} (\# E_\infty^{p,q})^{(-1)^{p+q}} = \# \operatorname{coker}(H^0(A^\theta) \to H^0(A)^\theta).$$

The formula (3.13) now follows immediately. □

4. THE UNIVERSAL DISTRIBUTION AND PREDISTRIBUTION

4.1. **Definitions and basic properties.** Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the free abelian group generated by the symbols $[a]$ with $a \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. We call the elements which are linear combinations of $[a] - \sum_{nb=a}[b]$ distribution relations in $\mathbf{A}$ and the elements which are linear combinations of $\sum_{nb=a}[b]$ predistribution relations in $\mathbf{A}$. Let U be the quotient group of $\mathbf{A}$ modulo the distribution relations and let $\mathcal{O}$ the quotient group of $\mathbf{A}$ modulo the predistribution relations. We call U and $\mathcal{O}$ the (rank 1) *universal distribution and the (rank 1)* universal predistribution respectively. Now for the subgroup $\mathbf{A}_m =< [a] : a \in \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} >$ of $\mathbf{A}$, put

$$U_m = \mathbf{A}_m / < [a] - \sum_{nb=a} [b], n|m,\ a \in \frac{n}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} >,$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_m = \mathbf{A}_m / < \sum_{nb=a} [b], n|m,\ a \in \frac{n}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} > .$$

We call U_m and $\mathcal{O}_m$ the universal distribution and the universal predistribution of level m respectively.

In [10], Sinnott introduced an $\mathbb{R}[G]$-module U_m and used it to compute the index of the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units. Kubert [7] then proved that Sinnott's module are actually isomorphic to the one we defined above. We have

Proposition 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} (1).\ U_m &\cong U_{Sinnott}; \\ (2).\ \mathcal{O}_m &\cong \mathcal{O}_{K_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1). See Kubert [7].

(2). Define

$$\begin{aligned} e_m : \ \mathbf{A}_m &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_m} \\ \sum n_i[a_i] &\longmapsto \sum n_i \exp(2\pi i a_i) \end{aligned}$$

It is routine to check that e_m is actually an isomorphism. Since we don't need this fact in the latter context, we omit it here. □

4.2. The connecting map ϕ_m.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_m : \mathbb{R}\otimes\mathbf{A}_m &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\otimes\mathbf{A}_m \\ [x] &\longmapsto \sum_{n|m^\infty} \frac{[nx]}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then ϕ_m is an automorphism of $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{A}_m$, the inverse map is given by

$$\phi_m^{-1} : [x] \longmapsto \sum_{n|m^\infty} \frac{\mu(n)[nx]}{n},$$

where

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^i, & \text{if } n \text{ is a product of } i \text{ distinct prime numbers;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is the Möbius function. Now if we enlarge the definition of distribution and predistribution relations to $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{A}_m$, then we have

Proposition 4.2. *ϕ_m maps distribution relations to predistribution relations. In other words, ϕ_m induces an isomorphism from $\mathbb{R}U_m$ to $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{O}_m$.*

Proof. By straightforward calculation. □

Note. From now on we denote by φ_m the above induced map.

5. The cochain complexes (L_m, d_{1m}) and (L_m, d_{2m})

5.1. Set up.

In this section and sequel, we fix the following notations:

- $K_m = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m),\ G_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}) = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$;
- $c = \sigma_{-1}$ is the complex conjugation in G_m, $\theta = 1 + c$, $J = \{1, c\}$.
- $L_m =< [x, g] : g|m,\ g \text{ square free},\ x \in \frac{g}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} >$;
- $L_{m,g} =< [x, g] : x \in \frac{g}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} >$ for a fixed square free factor g;
- $L^i_m = \bigoplus L_{m,g}$ for all square free $g|m$ such that $i = -\#\mathrm{Supp}\ g$;
- $V_m = \mathbb{R}\otimes L_m,\ V_{m,g} = \mathbb{R}\otimes L_{m,g},\ V^i_m = \mathbb{R}\otimes L^i_m$.

For any square free positive integer g, suppose that $g = p_i \cdots p_r$, $p_1 < \cdots < p_r$, is the prime factorization of g. Put

$$\epsilon(g, p) = \begin{cases} (-1)^i, & \text{if } p = p_i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we define

$$d_{1m} : L^i_m \to L^{i+1}_m, [x, g] \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{r} \epsilon(g, p_i)([x, g/p_i] - \sum_{p_i y = x} [y, g/p_i]). \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$d_{2m}: L_m^i \to L_m^{i+1}, [x,g] \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{r} \epsilon(g,p_i)(-\sum_{p_i y = x}[y, g/p_i]), \tag{5.2}$$

By straightforward calculation, we have $d_{1m}^2 = d_{2m}^2 = 0$. Therefore V_m is equipped with two cochain complexes structure, we write them (V_m, d_{1m}) and (V_m, d_{2m}) respectively.. In the next section, we are going to study the cohomology groups.

5.2. **Connecting map again.** In this subsection, we define a connecting map ϕ_m between (V_m, d_{1m}) and (V_m, d_{2m}), generalizing the one defined in §4.2. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_m : V_m &\longrightarrow V_m \\ [x,g] &\longmapsto \sum_{\substack{n \mid m^\infty \\ (n,g)=1}} \frac{[nx,g]}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then ϕ_m is an automorphism of the vector space V_m. Furthermore, the inverse map of ϕ_m is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_m^{-1} : V_m &\longrightarrow V_m \\ [x,g] &\longmapsto \sum_{\substack{n \mid m^\infty \\ (n,g)=1}} \frac{\mu(n)[nx,g]}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition establishes the connection between (V_m, d_{1m}) and (V_m, d_{2m}).

Proposition 5.1. *ϕ_m is an isomorphism from cochain complex (V_m, d_{1m}) to cochain complex (V_m, d_{2m}), i.e. ,*

$$d_{2m}\phi_m = \phi_m d_{1m}.$$

Proof. By direct calculation. □

Remark. Under the apparent isomorphism from V_m^0 to $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbf{A}_m$, we can see that the connecting map ϕ defined in §3.2 is the same map ϕ defined on V_m^0. Later we will see that $\varphi = H^0(\phi)$.

Now we try to calculate the determinant of ϕ_m. We have

Proposition 5.2.

$$\prod_i \det(\phi_m : V_m^i)^{(-1)^i} = \prod_{p \mid m} \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}_m} (1 - \chi(p)p^{-1})^{-1}. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. First notice that $V_{m,g}$ is invariant under ϕ_m. Moreover, let $h = m/g$, for any $f \mid h$, define

$$V_{m,g}^f = \mathbb{R} \otimes < [x,g] : fx = 0 >,$$

then clearly $V_{m,g}^f$ is invariant under ϕ_m. By definition, we have $V_{m,g}^h = V_{m,g}$. Put

$$V_{m,g}^{(f)} = V_{m,g}^f / \sum_{p \mid f} V_{m,g}^{f/p},$$

We can see that $V_{m,g}^{(f)}$ is a real vector space with a basis $\{[\frac{a}{f}, g] : (a, f) = 1\}$. Furthermore $V_{m,g}^{(f)}$ has a natural $\mathbb{R}[G_f]$-module structure. Actually it is a free $\mathbb{R}[G_f]$-module of rank 1. ϕ_m induces an automorphism in $V_{m,g}^{(f)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_m : V_{m,g}^{(f)} &\longrightarrow V_{m,g}^{(f)} \\ [x, g] &\longmapsto \sum_{\substack{n \mid m^\infty \\ (n,fg)=1}} \frac{[nx, g]}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate its determinant first. Let

$$S_{f,g} = \text{Supp } m - \text{Supp } f \cup \text{Supp } g.$$

For $p \in S_{f,g}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_p : V_{m,g}^{(f)} &\longrightarrow V_{m,g}^{(f)} \\ [x, g] &\longmapsto \sum_{n \mid p^\infty} \frac{[nx, g]}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\tau_{p_i} \circ \tau_{p_j} = \tau_{p_j} \circ \tau_{p_i}$ and

$$\phi_m|_{V_{m,g}^{(f)}} = \tau_{p_1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{p_s}$$

where $p_i \in S_{f,g}$. Then we have

$$\det(\phi_m : \ V_{m,g}^{(f)}) = \prod_{p \in S_{f,g}} \det \tau_p.$$

For any $p \in S_{f,g}$, let $c_{p,f}$ be the smallest number satisfying $p^{c_{p,f}} \equiv 1 \pmod f$. Since the map τ_p can be regarded as the left multiplication by the group ring element $\sum_i \frac{\sigma_p^i}{p^i}$ in $\mathbb{R}[G_f]$, then by [11] Lemma 1.2(b), we have

$$\det \tau_p = \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}_f} \chi(\sum_i \frac{\sigma_p^i}{p^i}) = (1 - p^{-c_{p,f}})^{-\varphi(f)/c_{p,f}} := a_{p,f},$$

and

$$\det(\phi_m : \ V_{m,g}^{(f)}) = \prod_{p \in S_{f,g}} a_{p,f}.$$

Now by the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle, we have

$$\det(\phi_m : \sum_{p \mid f} V_{m,g}^{f/p}) = \prod_{f' \mid f, f' \neq 1} \det(\phi_m : V_{m,g}^{f/f'})^{-\mu(f')}.$$

Hence

$$\prod_{p \in S_{f,g}} a_{p,f} = \prod_{f' \mid f} \det(\phi_m : V_{m,g}^{f/f'})^{\mu(f')}.$$

By the Möbius inverse formula,

$$\det(\phi_m : V_{m,g}) = \prod_{f \mid \frac{m}{g}} \prod_{p \in S_{f,g}} a_{p,f}$$

Therefore we have

$$\prod_i \det(\phi_m : V_m^i)^{(-1)^i} = \prod_{g|m}\Big(\prod_{f|\frac{m}{g}}\prod_{p\in S_{f,g}} a_{p,f}\Big)^{\mu(g)}.$$

Now let's look at the right hand side of the above identity. The exponent of $a_{p,f}$ is

$$\sum_{g|\frac{m}{f},\ (p,g)=1}\mu(g) = \sum_{g|\frac{m}{fp^\alpha}}\mu(g) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \frac{m}{fp^\alpha}=1;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

here $p^\alpha \| m$. Write $m = m_p\cdot p^\alpha$, then

$$\prod_i \det(\phi_m : V_m^i)^{(-1)^i} = \prod_{p|m} a_{p,m_p} = \prod_{\mathfrak{P}\cap\mathbb{Z}=p|m}\Big(1-\frac{1}{N\mathfrak{P}}\Big)^{-1},$$

which is exact the right hand side of the identity (5.3). □

Now let $V_m^\theta = \{x\in V_m : \theta\cdot x = 0\}$. V_m^θ has a basis consisting of $\{[x,g]-[-x,g] : 0<x<1/2\}\subseteq V_m$. Denote by ϕ_m^θ the restriction of ϕ_m on V_m^θ. Then ϕ_m^θ is an automorphism of V_m^θ. We have

Proposition 5.3.

$$\prod_i \det(\phi_m^\theta : V_m^{i\theta})^{(-1)^i} = \prod_{\chi\ odd}\prod_{p|m}(1-\chi(p)p^{-1})^{-1}. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 5.2. Note that $V_{m,g}^{(f)\theta}$ is a real vector space with a basis $\{[\frac{a}{f},g]-[-\frac{a}{f},g] : (a,f)=1, 0<a<f/2\}$. On the quotient space $V_{m,g}^{(f)\theta}$,

$$\phi_m^\theta : [x,g]-[-x,g] \longmapsto \sum_{\substack{n|m^\infty\\(n,fg)=1}}\frac{[nx,g]-[-nx,g]}{n}.$$

Now the restriction of τ_p on $V_{m,g}^{(f)\theta}$ is

$$\tau_p^\theta : [x,g]-[-x,g]\longmapsto \sum_{n|p^\infty}\frac{[nx,g]-[-nx,g]}{n}.$$

We still have

$$\phi_m^\theta|_{V_{m,g}^{(f)\theta}} = \tau_{p_1}^\theta\circ\cdots\circ\tau_{p_s}^\theta$$

where $p_i\in S_{f,g}$. Similar to the calculation of $\det\tau_p$ in Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\det\tau_p^\theta := b_{p,f} = \begin{cases}(1-p^{-c_{p,f}})^{-\varphi(f)/2c_{p,f}}, & if\ c_{p,f}\ odd;\\ (1+p^{-c_{p,f}/2})^{-\varphi(f)/c_{p,f}}, & if\ c_{p,f}\ even.\end{cases}$$

We have

$$\prod_i \det(\phi_m^\theta : V_m^{i\theta})^{(-1)^i} = \prod_{p|m} b_{p,m_p} = \prod_{\chi\ odd}\prod_{p|m}(1-\chi(p)p^{-1})^{-1}. \quad \square$$

6. COMPUTATION OF $H^*(L_m, d_{1m})$ AND $H^*(L_m, d_{2m})$

This section is dedicated to the computation of the cohomology groups $H^*(L_m, d_{1m})$ and $H^*(L_m, d_{2m})$. We first introduce module structures on $\mathbf{A}$ and $L = \cup L_m$. Using this structure, we find new bases for $\mathbf{A}$ and L, which is applied to study the cohomology groups.

Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[X_2, X_3, \cdots, X_p, \cdots]$ be the polynomial ring generated by indeterminants X_p for all prime number p. For every positive integer $n = \prod p^{n_p}$, put

$$X_n = \prod X_p{}^{n_p}, \qquad Y_n = \prod (1 - X_p)^{n_p},$$

then the set $\{X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of Λ, so is $\{Y_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Now $\mathbf{A}$ and L are equipped with Λ-module structures by the following rules:

$$X_n[a] = \sum_{nb=a} [b], \ X_n[a, g] = \sum_{nb=a} [b, g].$$

Put

$$d_1[a, g] := \sum_{p|g} \epsilon(g, p) Y_p[a, g/p],$$

and

$$d_2[a, g] := \sum_{p|g} \epsilon(g, p) \cdot (-X_p)[a, g/p].$$

Then $d_1^2 = d_2^2 = 0$ and L is equipped with a cochain complex structure by d_1 or d_2. Furthermore

$$d_1|_{L_m} = d_{1m}, \qquad d_2|_{L_m} = d_{2m}.$$

For any $a \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, we can uniquely write

$$a \equiv \sum_p \sum_v \frac{a_{pv}}{p^v} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $0 \leq a_{pv} < p$ for each pair of any prime number p and any positive integer v. Note that $a_{pv} = 0$ for all but finite any $\{p, v\}$. For each nonnegative integer k we define $\mathcal{R}_k$ to be the set of $a \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that there exist at most k prime numbers p such that $a_{p1} = p - 1$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}_0$ is the set of $a \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that such that $a_{p1} \neq p - 1$ for all prime numbers p.

Proposition 6.1. *(1). For each positive integer m, the collection*

$$\{X_n[a] : n \mid m, \ n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathcal{R}_0 \cap \frac{n}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}\}$$

constitutes a basis for the free abelian group $\mathbf{A}_m$.

(2). The collection $\{X_n[a] : \ n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathcal{R}_0\}$ constitutes a basis for the free abelian group $\mathbf{A}$.

(3). As a Λ-module $\mathbf{A}$ is free with a Λ-basis $\{[a] : a \in \mathcal{R}_0\}$.

(4). In (1) and (2), if we change X_n by Y_n, the related results are still true.

Proof. First note that (1) ⇒ (2) ⇒ (3). For (1), since

$$|\mathcal{R}_0 \cap \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}| = \varphi(m) = |(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times|,$$

it suffices to show that the given collection generates $\mathbf{A}_m$. This can be easily deduced by induction to $\mathcal{R}_k$, with the fact that for any $a \in \mathbf{A}_m$,

$$[a] = -\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}[a - \frac{i}{p}] + X_p[pa].$$

For (4), note that the identity

$$X_n - (-1)^{\sum n_i} Y_n = \sum_{l|n} c_{nl} X_l,$$

holds for any $n = \prod p_i^{n_i}$ and integer constants c_{nl}, therefore (4) follows immediately from (1) and (2). ☐

By Proposition 6.1, we have

Proposition 6.2. *(1). For each positive integer m, the collection*

$$\{X_n[a,g] : ng \mid m,\ n \in \mathbb{N},\ g\ squarefree, a \in \mathcal{R}_0 \cap \frac{ng}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}\}$$

constitutes a basis for the free abelian group L_m.

(2). The collection $\{X_n[a,g] :\ n \in \mathbb{N},\ g\ squarefree,\ a \in \mathcal{R}_0\}$ constitutes a basis for the free abelian group L.

(3). In (1) and (2), if we change X_n by Y_n, the related results are still true.

With the help of Proposition 6.2, we can compute the cohomology groups of (L_m, d_{1m}) and (L_m, d_{2m}).

Theorem 6.3. *(1). The complex (L, d_1) and (L, d_2) are acyclic in negative degree, moreover, $H^0(L, d_1)$ is the universal distribution U and $H^0(L, d_2)$ is the universal predistribution $\mathcal{O}$.*

(2). The complex (L_m, d_{1m}) and (L_m, d_{2m}) are acyclic in negative degree, moreover, $H^0(L_m, d_{1m})$ is U_m and $H^0(L_m, d_{2m})$ is $\mathcal{O}_m$. In both cases, the natural map $H^0(L_m) \to H^0(L)$ is injective.

Proof. For each prime number p, we define operators d_2^p, T_2^p and π_2^p on L by the rules:

$$d_2^p X_n[a,g] = \begin{cases} -\epsilon(g,p)X_{np}[a, g/p], & \text{if } p \mid g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$T_2^p X_n[a,g] = \begin{cases} -\epsilon(gp,p)X_{n/p}[a, gp], & \text{if } (p,g) = 1\ \&\ p \mid n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\pi_2^p X_n[a,g] = \begin{cases} X_n[a,g], & \text{if } (p, ng) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $X_n[a,g]$ runs through the basis given in Theorem 6.2(2). It is easy to check:

$$d_2^p T_2^q + T_2^q d_2^p = \delta_{pq}(1 - \pi_2^p)$$

where δ_{pq} is the Kronecker symbol, and

$$\pi_2^{p\,2} = \pi_2^p, \qquad \pi_2^p \pi_2^q = \pi_2^q \pi_2^p.$$

By Proposition 6.2(1), for any fixed positive integer m, we can check that

$$d_2^p L_m,\ T_2^p L_m,\ (1 - \pi_2^p)L_m \subseteq \begin{cases} L_m, & \text{if } p \mid m, \\ 0, & \text{if } (p,m) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Now for a fixed positive integer m, we define d_{2m}, T_{2m} and π_{2m} on L_m by the rules:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2m} &:= \sum_{p|m} d_2^p, \\ T_{2m} &:= \sum_{p|m} (\sum_{q<p} \pi_2^q) T_2^p, \\ \pi_{2m} &:= \prod_{p|m} \pi_2^p, \end{aligned}$$

here we abuse the notations d_2^p, T_2^p and π_2^p with their restrictions on L_m. It is easy to check the definition d_{1m} here coincides the one we defined in §4. We can also check that

$$\pi_{2m} X_n[a,g] = \begin{cases} [a,1], & \text{if } n = 1 \ \& \ g = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for any elements $X_n[a,g]$ of the basis given in Theorem 6.2(1). Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2m}T_{2m} + T_{2m}d_{2m} =& (\sum_{\ell|m} d_2^\ell)(\sum_{p|m}(\sum_{q<p} \pi_2^q)T_2^p) + (\sum_{p|m}(\sum_{q<p} \pi_1^q)T_2^p)(\sum_{\ell|m} d_2^\ell) \\ =& \sum_p \sum_\ell (\sum_{q<p} \pi_2^q)(d_2^\ell T_2^p + T_2^p d_2^\ell) \\ =& \sum_p (\sum_{q<p} \pi_2^q)(1 - \pi_2^p) \\ =& \sum_p (\sum_{q<p} \pi_2^q - \sum_{q\leq p} \pi_2^q) \\ =& 1 - \pi_{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

By the above argument, the cochain map id : $(L_m, d_{2m}) \to (L_m, d_{2m})$ is homotopic to the cochain map π_{2m}. But in the negative degree, pi_{2m} is nothing but the zero map. Therefore we showed that (L_m, d_{2m}) is acyclic in negative degrees. Now since for the map d_{2m}(resp. T_{2m}, π_{2m}), $d_{2m}|_{L_m \cap L_{m'}} = d_{2m'}|_{L_m \cap L_{m'}}$(resp. $T_{2m'}$, $\pi_{2m'}$), there exists a unique operator d_2(resp. T_2, π_2) with restriction at L_m the operator d_{2m} (resp.T_{2m}, π_{2m}). π_2 is a cochain map homotopic to the identity map of the cochain complex (L, d_2) and vanishes at negative degrees. Therefore (L, d_2) is acyclic at negative degrees. Now for $n = 0$, the cohomology groups $H^0(L, d_2)$ and $H^0(L_m, d_{2m})$ easily follow from the definitions of d_2 and d_{2m} .

Now by a parallel argument to d_1 and Y_n, we construct T_1, π_1 and T_{1m}, π_{1m} respectively. the remaining assertions follow immediately □

Remark. 1. The proof here is given by Anderson in the preprint version of [2].

2. In the higher rank case, we also have similar result by applying essentially the same trick.

7. More spectral sequences

In the following sections we are going to use the spectral sequence method to attack the cochain complexes introduced in §4. First recall:

- $J = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{1, c\} \subseteq G_m$, $\theta = 1 + c \in \mathbb{Z}[J]$;
- $L_m =< [a,g] : g \mid m, a \in \frac{g}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} >$, $d = d_1$ or d_2;
- $H^0_{d_1}(L_m) = U_m$, $H^0_{d_2}(L_m) = \mathcal{O}_m$;
- $r = \#\text{Supp } m$, $g \mid m$, g square free, $p = -\#\text{Supp } g$.

Now let $M = \operatorname{coker}(\mathbb{Z}[J] \overset{1+c}{\to} \mathbb{Z}[J])$. Consider two chain complexes

$$(P, \partial) : \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{q+1}} \mathbb{Z}[J]_{q+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_q} \mathbb{Z}[J]_q \xrightarrow{\partial_{q-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_0} \mathbb{Z}[J]_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$(F, \partial) : \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{q+1}} \mathbb{Z}[J]_{q+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_q} \mathbb{Z}[J]_q \xrightarrow{\partial_{q-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_0} \mathbb{Z}[J]_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_{-1}} \cdots$$

where $\mathbb{Z}[J]_q = \mathbb{Z}[J]$ and $\partial_q = 1 + (-1)^q \cdot c$. It is clear that P is a projective resolution of M and F is an exact sequence. Regard the cochain complex (L_m, d) as A in §2, we can construct two double complexes by

$$K^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[J]_q, L_m^p) := (L_m^p, q), & \text{if } q \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } q < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$F^{p,q} = (L_m^p, q)$$

where the induced differentials $\delta_q : (x, q) \mapsto ((-1)^p(1 + (-1)^q c)x, q + 1)$ and $d : (x, q) \mapsto (d(x), q)$. The two filtrations for the double complex $K^{*,*}$ are

$${}'\operatorname{Fil}^p K^{*,*} = \bigoplus_{p' \geq p} K^{p',q}$$

and

$${}''\operatorname{Fil}^q K^{*,*} = \bigoplus_{q'' \geq q} K^{p,q''}.$$

From results in §2, we have

$${}''E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \neq 0; \\ \operatorname{Ext}_J^q(M, H_d^0(L_m)), & \text{if } p = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using the projective resolution P of M, the cohomology groups of the total complex K^* are

$$H^n(K^*) = \operatorname{Ext}_J^n(M, H_d^0(L_m)) = \begin{cases} H^1(J, H_d^0(L_m)), & \text{if } n \text{ odd}, \ n > 0; \\ H^2(J, H_d^0(L_m)), & \text{if } n \text{ even}, \ n > 0; \\ H_d^0(L_m)^{1+c}, & \text{if } \ n = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } \ n < 0. \end{cases}$$

Now consider the first filtration, we have

$${}'E_1^{p,q} = H_\delta^q(K^{p,*}) = \begin{cases} H^1(J, L_m^p), & \text{if } q \text{ odd}, \ q > 0; \\ H^2(J, L_m^p), & \text{if } q \text{ even}, \ q > 0; \\ (L_m^p)^{1+c}, & \text{if } \ q = 0; \\ 0. & \text{if } \ q < 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly for the double complex $F^{*,*}$,

$$H^q(F^*) = \hat{H}^q(J, H_d^0(L_m)) = \begin{cases} H^1(J, H_d^0(L_m)), & \text{if } q \text{ odd}; \\ H^2(J, H_d^0(L_m)), & \text{if } q \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$'E_{1,F}^{p,q} = H_\delta^q(F^{p,*}) = \hat{H}^q(J, L_m^p) = \begin{cases} H^1(J, L_m^p), & \text{if } q \text{ odd;} \\ H^2(J, L_m^p), & \text{if } q \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Now for the Galois cohomology $\hat{H}^q(J, L_m^p)$, first since(Note that $m \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$ by our assumption)

$$L_{m,g} = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{2a\neq 0}(\mathbb{Z}[a,g] \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[-a,g]) \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[0,g] \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2},g], & \text{if } m \text{ even;} \\ \bigoplus_{2a\neq 0}(\mathbb{Z}[a,g] \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[-a,g]) \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[0,g], & \text{if } m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\hat{H}^q(J, L_{m,g}) = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, & \text{if } q \text{ odd, } m \text{ even;} \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), & \text{if } q \text{ odd, } m \text{ odd;} \\ 0, & \text{if } q \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\hat{H}^q(J, L_m^p) = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2\binom{r}{-p}}, & \text{if } q \text{ odd, } m \text{ even;} \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{r}{-p}}, & \text{if } q \text{ odd, } m \text{ odd;} \\ 0, & \text{if } q \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by X_g the cocycle represented by $[0,g]$ and by Y_g the cocycle represented by $[1/2,g]$, then for $q > 0$,

$$'E_1^{p,q} = \hat{H}^q(J, L_m^p) == \begin{cases} \bigoplus_g (< X_g > \bigoplus < Y_g >), & \text{if } q \text{ odd, } m \text{ even;} \\ \bigoplus_g < X_g >, & \text{if } q \text{ odd, } m \text{ odd;} \\ 0, & \text{if } q \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

We consider $'E_1^{p,q}$ as a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ vector space. Immediately we have $'E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for q even. Now for q odd, if m is odd, the induced differential d^1 is

$$X_g \overset{d_1^1}{\longmapsto} 0, \qquad X_g \overset{d_2^1}{\longmapsto} \sum_{i=1}^{-p} X_{g/p_i};$$

if m is even, the induced differential d^1 is

$$X_g \overset{d_1^1}{\longmapsto} \delta_{2p_1} Y_{g/2}, \qquad Y_g \overset{d_1^1}{\longmapsto} \delta_{2p_1} Y_{g/2}.$$

and

$$X_g \overset{d_2^1}{\longmapsto} \sum_{i=1}^{-p} X_{g/p_i} + \delta_{2p_1} Y_{g/2},$$
$$Y_g \overset{d_2^1}{\longmapsto} \sum_{i=1}^{-p} Y_{g/p_i} + \delta_{2p_1} Y_{g/2}.$$

Set $X_m^p = H^2(J, L_m^p)$. Let $X_m^\bullet$ be the cochain complex formed by X_m^p and d^1. By definition, for any even positive q, $'E_2^{p,q}$ is just the p-th cohomology group $(X_m^\bullet, d^1)$. We calculate the cohomology groups one by one:

(1). m is odd and $d^1 = d_1^1$. This is trivial:

$$('E_2^{p,q}, d_1) = {}'E_1^{p,q} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{r}{-p}}.$$

(2). m is odd and $d^1 = d^1_2$. In this case, if $m = p^n$, it is easy to see that $H^0(X^\bullet_{p^n}, d^1_2) = H^{-1}(X^\bullet_{p^n}, d^1_2) = 0$. Now if $m = m_1 m_2$ and $(m_1, m_2) = 1$, we can check

$$(X^\bullet_m, d^1_{2m}) = (X^\bullet_{m_1}, d^1_{2m_1}) \bigotimes (X^\bullet_{m_2}, d^1_{2m_2}).$$

By Künneth's formula, $H^p(X^\bullet_m, d^1_2) = 0$. Therefore we have

$$({}'E_2^{p,q}, d_2) = \cdots = ({}'E_\infty^{p,q}, d_2) = 0.$$

(3). m is even and $d^1 = d^1_1$. Since $X^\bullet_m$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-vector space, by the formula above about d^1_1, we always have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{im}(X^p_m \to X^{p+1}_m) = \binom{r-1}{-p-1},$$

therefore

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \ker(X^p_m \to X^{p+1}_m) = 2\binom{r}{-p} - \binom{r-1}{-p-1}.$$

Hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} H^p(X^\bullet_m, d^1_1) = 2\binom{r}{-p} - \binom{r-1}{-p-1} - \binom{r-1}{-p} = \binom{r}{-p}.$$

Or we have

$$({}'E_2^{p,q}, d_1) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{r}{-p}}.$$

(4). m is even and $d^1 = d^1_2$. In this case, if $m = 2^k$,

$$H^0(X^\bullet_{2^k}, d^1_2) = H^{-1}(X^\bullet_{2^k}, d^1_2) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now if $m = 2^k m'$, $m' > 1$ odd, set

$$X'^p_{m'} = \bigoplus_{g|m'} (< X_g > \bigoplus < Y_g >)$$

and

$$d'_2 : X_g \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{-p} X_{g/p_i}, \ Y_g \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{-p} Y_{g/p_i}.$$

Then we have

$$(X^\bullet_m, d^1_2) = (X^\bullet_{2^k}, d^1_2) \bigotimes (X'^\bullet_{m'}, d'_2).$$

Similar to the case (2), we can see $H^p(X'^\bullet_{m'}, d'_2) = 0$. By Künneth's formula again, $({}'E_2^{p,q}, d_2) = H^p(X^\bullet_m, d^1_2) = 0$.

Combining all the cases above, for $d = d_1$, $q > 0$, we have

$$({}'E_2^{p,q}, d_1) = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{r}{-p}}, & \text{if } q \text{ odd;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

For $d = d_2$, $q > 0$, we have

$$({}'E_2^{p,q}, d_2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } q \text{ odd}, \ m = 2^k, \ p = 0 \text{ or } -1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

Similarly for $F^{*,*}$, for $d = d_1$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$({}'E_{2,F}^{p,q}, d_1) = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{r}{-p}}, & \text{if } q \text{ odd;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $d = d_2$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$({}'E_{2,F}^{p,q}, d_2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } q \text{ odd}, \ m = 2^k, \ p = 0 \text{ or } -1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Our next task is to show that $F^{*,*}$ collapses at ${}'E_{2,F}^{p,q}$. For this purpose, we define a subcomplex $SF^{*,*}$ of $F^{*,*}$:

$$SF^{p,q} = \begin{cases} (L_m^p, q), & \text{if } q \text{ even;} \\ (\beta(L_m^p), q), & \text{if } q \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\beta([a,g]) = \begin{cases} [a,g], & \text{if } 2a \neq 0; \\ 2[a,g], & \text{if } 2a = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify d and δ are well defined in $SF^{*,*}$. Moreover, $H_\delta^q(SF^{p,*}) = 0$ for every pair (p,q). Therefore the total cohomology group of SF^* is trivial. Hence to study $F^{*,*}$, it suffices to study the double complex $QF^{*,*} = F^{*,*}/SF^{*,*}$. Note that $QF^{*,*}$ vanishes at the odd rows and at the even rows, $QF^{p,q}$ is nothing but ${}'E_{1,F}^{p,q}$ we just got above. Moreover, the induced differential d in $QF^{*,*}$ is nothing but d^1 in ${}'E_{1,F}^{*,*}$. On one hand

$$H^n(QF^*) = H^n(F^*) = \hat{H}^n(J, H_d^0(L_m)) = \bigoplus_q {}'E_{\infty,F}^{n-q,q};$$

on the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^n(QF^*) &= \frac{\ker\left(d+\delta : \bigoplus_q QF^{n-q,q} \to \bigoplus_q QF^{n+1-q,q}\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(d+\delta : \bigoplus_n QF^{n-1-q,q} \to \bigoplus_q QF^{n-q,q}\right)} \\ &= \bigoplus_q \frac{\ker\left(d : QF^{n-q,q} \to QF^{n+1-q,q}\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(d : QF^{n-1-q,q} \to QF^{n-q,q}\right)} \\ &= \bigoplus_q {}'E_{2,F}^{n-q,q}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for any pair (p,q),

$${}'E_{2,F}^{p,q} =' E_{\infty,F}^{p,q}.$$

In particular, for $n = 1$,

$$\hat{H}^1(J, H_d^0(L_m)) = \bigoplus_q {}'E_{2,F}^{1-q,q} = \bigoplus_q {}'E_2^{1-q,q}. \tag{7.3}$$

By results of (7.1) and (7.2), we easily have

Theorem 7.1. *The group $J = \{1, c\}$ acts trivially on the cohomology groups $H^i(J, \mathcal{O}_m)$ and $H^i(J, U_m)$ for $i = 1$ or 2, moreover,*

$$H^1(J, \mathcal{O}_m) = H^2(J, \mathcal{O}_m) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & \textit{if } m = 2^k; \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{7.4}$$

and

$$H^1(J, U_m) = H^2(J, U_m) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2^{r-1}}. \tag{7.5}$$

Remark. 1. The second statement is first proved in Yamamoto [13]. The spectral sequence method employed here makes the calculation significantly simpler than those in [13] and in [10]. Moreover, this same spectral sequence method can also be applied to the universal distribution of higher rank, thus recover the results in Kubert [8].

2. For any cyclic group $C \in G$ which has trivial intersection with G_{p^i} for $p^i \| m$, we can also obtain similar result without any extra difficult.

Proposition 7.2.

$$I(L_m, d_1; \theta) = \begin{cases} 2, & if\ r = 1; \\ 2^{2^{r-2}}, & \text{if } r > 1. \end{cases} \qquad I(L_m, d_2; \theta) = \begin{cases} 2, & if\ m = 2^k; \\ 1, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the identity (7.3), the condition in Proposition 3.1 is satisfied. For $d = d_1$, by (7.1), then the exponent of 2 in $I(L_m, d_1; \theta)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{p+q\le 0 \\ q>0\ odd}} (-1)^{p+1} \binom{r}{-p} &= \sum_{p=-r}^{-1} \sum_{\substack{q\le -p \\ q\ odd}} (-1)^{p+1} \binom{r}{-p} \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{r} \left[\frac{p+1}{2}\right] (-1)^p \binom{r}{p} \\ &= \sum_k k \binom{r}{2k-1} - \sum_k k \binom{r}{2k} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & if\ r = 1; \\ 2^{r-2}, & if\ r > 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The case $d = d_2$ immediately follows from Proposition 3.1 and (7.2). ☐

8. Sinnott's index formula

In this section we set to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 8.1 (See [10], Theorem)**.** *Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[G_m]$ and let S be the Stickelberger ideal of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$. Then*

$$[R^- : S^-] = 2^a h^-,$$

where $a = 0$ if $r = 1$ and $a = 2^{r-2} - 1$ if $r > 1$.

Note. In this section, the subscript m is omitted from our notations(i.e., G is the Galois group G_m and so on). p is always regarded as a prime factor of m. The superscript "-", is in accordance with the superscript "θ" in the previous sections.

Proof. We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{R}U^- \arrow[r, "\varphi^-"] \arrow[dr, "\alpha^{(s)}"'] & \mathbb{R}\mathcal{O}^- \arrow[r, "\psi^{(s)}"] & \mathbb{R}\mathcal{O}^- \arrow[dl, "\beta"] \\
& \mathbb{R}[G]^- &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $s > 1$ and $\varphi^- = \varphi|_{\mathbb{R}U^-}$,

$$\psi^{(s)}([x]-[-x]) = \sum_{(n,m)=1} \frac{[nx]-[-nx]}{n^s},$$

$$\beta([x]-[-x]) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{t\in(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} (\exp(2\pi ixt) - \exp(-2\pi ixt))\sigma_t^{-1}$$

and

$$\alpha^{(s)} = \beta \circ \psi^{(s)} \circ \varphi^-.$$

$\psi^{(s)}$ is well defined and all the above maps are isomorphisms of vector spaces. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(R^- : \alpha^{(s)}(U^-)) \\
=&(R^- : \beta(\mathcal{O}^-)) \cdot (\beta(\mathcal{O}^-) : \beta\psi^{(s)}(\mathcal{O}^-)) \cdot (\beta\psi^{(s)}(\mathcal{O}^-) : \alpha^{(s)}(U^-)) \\
=&(R^- : \beta(\mathcal{O}^-)) \cdot (\mathcal{O}^- : \psi^{(s)}(\mathcal{O}^-)) \cdot (\mathcal{O}^- : \varphi^-(U^-))
\end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

Here for the second equality, we use the property that if V_1 and V_2 are two vector spaces and f is an isomorphism from V_1 to V_2, then $(A:B)_{V_1} = (f(A):f(B))_{V_2}$. Now for the three factors at the last line of (8.1), we have:

Lemma 8.2.

$$(R^- : \beta(\mathcal{O}^-)) = \begin{cases} (2\pi)^{-\varphi(m)/2}\sqrt{d(K_m)/d(K_m^+)}, & \text{if } m \neq 2^k; \\ \frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^{-\varphi(m)/2}\sqrt{d(K_m)/d(K_m^+)}, & \text{if } m = 2^k. \end{cases} \tag{8.2}$$

Proof of Lemma 8.2. We first consider the following diagram which is exact at the rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}^+ \arrow[r, "i"] & \mathcal{O} \arrow[r, "1-c"] & \mathrm{im}(1-c) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}^+ \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[u, "id"'] & \mathcal{O}^+ \oplus \mathcal{O}^- \arrow[r, "1-c"] \arrow[u, "i"'] & 2\mathcal{O}^- \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "i"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i is the natural inclusion map. By Theorem 7.1, if m is not a power of 2, $\mathcal{O}^- = \mathrm{im}(1-c)$; if m is a power of 2, then $\mathcal{O}^-/\,\mathrm{im}(1-c) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore,

$$(\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{O}^+ \oplus \mathcal{O}^-) = (\mathrm{im}(1-c) : 2\mathcal{O}^-) = \begin{cases} 2^{\varphi(m)/2}, & \text{if } m \neq 2^k; \\ 2^{\varphi(m)/2-1}, & \text{if } m = 2^k. \end{cases}$$

Now let T be the map from $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}$ to $\mathbb{C}[G]$ such that $T([x]) = \sum_t \exp(2\pi itx)\sigma_t^-$, then we have $T|_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}^-} = 2\pi i\beta|_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}^-}$. Then on one hand,

$$(R^+ \oplus R^- : T(\mathcal{O}^+ \oplus \mathcal{O}^-)) = (R^+ : T(\mathcal{O}^+)) \cdot (R^- : T(\mathcal{O}^-))$$

on the other hand,

$$(R^+ \oplus R^- : T(\mathcal{O}^+ \oplus \mathcal{O}^-)) = (R^+ \oplus R^- : R) \cdot (R : T(\mathcal{O})) \cdot (\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{O}^+ \oplus \mathcal{O}^-).$$

But we know $(R^+ \oplus R^- : R) = 2^{-\varphi(m)/2}$, and by the definition of T, $(R : T(\mathcal{O})) = \sqrt{d(K)}$ and $(R^+ : T(\mathcal{O}^+)) = \sqrt{d(K^+)}$. Now the lemma follows from the above results and

$$(R^- : \beta(\mathcal{O}^-)) = (2\pi)^{-\varphi(m)/2}(R^- : 2\pi i\beta(\mathcal{O}^-)).$$

Lemma 8.3. *Let $S = \{p : p \mid m\}$, then*

$$(\mathcal{O}^- : \psi^{(s)}(\mathcal{O}^-)) = \prod_{\chi \ odd} L_S(s,\chi). \tag{8.3}$$

Proof of Lemma 8.3. Note that if we let

$$\Theta_S(s) = \sum_{(n.m)=1} \frac{\sigma_n}{n^s},$$

then $\psi^{(s)}$ is just the left multiplication of $\Theta_S(s)$ on $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{O}^-$. By [11] Lemma 1.2(b), we have

$$(\mathcal{O}^- : \psi^{(s)}(\mathcal{O}^-)) = \prod_{\chi \ odd} \chi(\Theta_S(s)) = \prod_{\chi \ odd} L_S(s,\chi).$$

Lemma 8.4.

$$(\mathcal{O}^- : \varphi^-(U^-)) = \begin{cases} 2^{-2^{r-2}} \prod\limits_{p|m} \prod\limits_{\chi \ odd} (1-\chi(p)^{-1})p^{-1}, & if \ r>1; \\ \frac{1}{2}, & if \ r=1, \ p \neq 2; \\ 1, & if \ m = 2^k. \end{cases} \tag{8.4}$$

Proof of Lemma 8.4. This follows from the abstract index formula (2.3) , Proposition 5.3 and Proposition 7.2.

Now let s approach 1, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s\to 1} \alpha^{(s)}([x]-[-x]) &= \lim_{s\to 1} \beta\psi^{(s)} H^0(\varphi)([x]-[-x]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_t \sigma_t^{-1} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\exp(2n\pi i x t) - \exp(-2n\pi i x t)}{n} \\ &= \sum_t (\frac{1}{2} - \{xt\})\sigma_t^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

If we let $\alpha = \lim_{s\to 1} \alpha^{(s)}$, by (8.1), (8.2),(8.3) and (8.4), with the class number formula,

$$h^- = (2\pi)^{-\varphi(m)/2} \prod_{\chi \ odd} L(1,\chi) \sqrt{d(K_m)/d(K_m^+)}\, \omega\, Q,$$

and since $(U^- : (1-c)U) = 2^{2^{r-1}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R^- : \alpha((1-c)U)) &= \lim_{s\to 1} (R^- : \alpha^{(s)}(U^-)) \cdot (U^- : (1-c)U) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{h^-}{\omega Q} \cdot 2^{2^{r-2}}, & if \ r>1; \\ \frac{h^-}{\omega Q}, & if \ r=1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

But by (8.5), $\alpha((1-c)U)$ is nothing but $e^- S'$ in [10]. and by [10], Lemma 3.1, we have $(e^- S' : S^-) = \omega$. This is enough to finish the proof of the theorem.. □

REFERENCES

[1] Anderson, Greg W., *Index calculations by the double complex method,* Working notes, 1998.

[2] Anderson, Greg W., *A double complex for computing the sign-cohomology of the universal ordinary distribution.* Recent Progress in Algebra(Taejonto/Seoul, 1997)1-27, Contem. Math. 224, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1999.

[3] Brown, Kenneth S., *Cohomology of Groups,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics **87**, Springer-Verlag, New York 1982.

[4] Das, Pinaki, *Algebraic Gamma monomials and double coverings of cyclotomic fields,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.

[5] Griffiths, P. and Harris J. *Principles of Algebraic Geometry,* Wiley-Interscience, New York 1978.

[6] Hilton, P.J. and Stammbach U., *A Course in Homological Algebra,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics **4**, Springer-Verlag, New York 1971.

[7] Kubert, D.S., *The universal ordinary distribution,* Bull. Soc. Math. France **107**(1979), 179-202.

[8] Kubert, D.S., *The $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ cohomology of the universal ordinary distribution,* Bull. Soc. Math. France **107**(1979), 103-224.

[9] Lang, S. , *Cyclotomic Fields, I and II, Combined 2nd ed..* Graduate texts in mathematics 121, Springer Verlag, 1990.

[10] Sinnott, Warren, *On the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units of a cyclotomic field.* Annals of Mathematics **108**(1978), 107-134.

[11] Sinnott, Warren, *On the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units of an abelian field.* Invent. Math. **62**(1980), 181-234.

[12] Washington, L.C., *Introduction to cyclotomic cyclotomic fields, 2nd ed..* Graduate texts in mathematics 83, Springer Verlag, New York, 1997.

[13] Yamamoto, K., *The gap group of multiplicative relationships of Gaussian sums,* Symposia Mathematica **15**, 427-440, Academic Press, London, 1975.

[14] Yin, L. , *Index-class number formulas over global function fields,* Compositio Mathematica **109**(1997), 49-66.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455, USA
E-mail address: `youyang@math.umn.edu`